



# MANAGING PLASTICS AT END-OF-LIFE

## A Guidance Statement

- from the global plastics industry

December, 2008



## Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	Preface	2
2	Objectives	2
3	A context of sustainable development	2
4	Plastics as a sustainable material	3
5	Guidance and Standards	3
6	Increasing recovery beyond limitations	5
7	Importance of a cooperative approach - locally and globally	5
8	Steps for improvement	6

## 1. Preface

This guidance is a non-binding statement of principles and values relating to the management of plastics at end-of-life.

It was developed at the 19<sup>th</sup> Annual Global Meeting on Plastics and the Environment, held in October, 2008 in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The meeting was attended by plastics industry associations from Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Europe, India, Japan, South Africa and the USA.

This group of associations, and the plastics industries in various parts of the world they represent, recognise the value and importance of responsibility, leadership and global cooperation in managing environmental issues relating to plastics. This is consistent with the role of plastics providing solutions to the challenges of climate, population and economic change.

The group recognises the role of all types of plastics and that the selection of materials for a given application should be based on technical merit, over whole-of-life, supported by sound science.

This information is made available to industry associations, companies, governments, non-government organisations, community groups and other interested parties to use and adapt to local circumstances. The guidance will be updated from time to time, by this group, to reflect appropriateness and accuracy.

## 2. Objectives

Broadly, the plastics industry recognises the value and importance of energy and resource conservation and efficiency, as well as minimising the environmental and health impacts of plastic products over their whole-of-life cycle.

Specifically, this guidance recognises the environmental, economic and social importance of diverting plastic products from landfill and litter streams at the eventual end of their life cycles. It covers used plastics from domestic, municipal, commercial / industrial and building / construction sources. It includes products with short term, medium and long term product cycles lasting from months to years to decades.

Increased cooperation between country associations, including the development of global guidance, can help improve the recovery of plastics at end-of-life. Sharing knowledge, experience and programs can inform improved policy development, design decisions as well as product stewardship, education and awareness programs - each contributing to the most efficient use of resources and energy.

## 3. A context of Sustainable Development

The industry understands the contribution that plastics, and the plastics industry, has to sustainable development. A helpful definition of this is the Brundtland Commission<sup>1</sup> definition: "*Sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.*"

## 4. Plastics as a sustainable material

The plastics industry is part of the global economy with plastics used in the value chains for a large proportion of manufactured items in the world. Plastics are unique, not only in their wide range of properties and applications, but in their use as sustainable materials. Plastic products can enable

---

<sup>1</sup> "Brundtland Commission": United Nations. 1987. "[Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development.](#)"

reductions in energy use and carbon emissions over their life cycle, including end-of-life, through material and energy recovery options. Plastics use only 4% of the world's oil and gas<sup>2</sup>, and a very small amount of some crop types, and sequester carbon in the products they are made into. This is compared with the majority of the world's oil and gas releasing carbon as greenhouse gases when combusted as fuels. The lightweight, flexible, hygienic and cost-effective characteristics of plastics mean they are used in a variety of applications able to preserve resources and energy compared with alternatives<sup>3</sup>. Plastic products are often able to go through multiple re-use, recovery and recycling cycles. From this perspective, plastics are too valuable to use only once and then waste. The whole-of-life impact of a plastic product can best be described with a suitable Life Cycle Assessment (LCA). LCAs demonstrating the comparative impacts of plastics and other materials are available for a range of product types including food packaging and building materials. Some examples are provided for reference.<sup>4</sup>

At the eventual end of a plastic products life it has valuable material and energies able to be recovered by a range of technologies, when supported by collection infrastructure. The industry recognises that plastics which end up in landfill or as litter are wasted sources of materials and energy. Litter, in particular, can create problems, socially, economically and environmentally and is best dealt with by a combination of consumer education, effective waste management infrastructure and the enforcement of suitable laws.

## 5. Guidance and Standards

The traditional 'waste hierarchy' (prevention, reduction, re-use, recycle, recover energy, disposal/landfill) is a guide to extracting the maximum practical benefits from products and generating the minimum amount of waste. In this way, it supports the efficient use of resources and energy generally. An evaluation of these options to identify most suitable method for managing a given product is important. The evaluation should be thoroughly conducted and consider aspects which include waste type, composition and quality, environmental performance, cost and the like.

Specifically in relation to plastics, "ISO 15270: 2008 Plastics – Guidelines for the recovery and recycling of plastics waste" was released in June, 2008. It describes various management options and recognises that there are two main avenues for recovering the value of plastics. They are:

- Material recovery: mechanical recycling, feedstock recycling and for specific types of plastics, biological recycling including compost and methane, and
- Energy recovery for fuels and heat

If variables such as environmental performance and cost were equal, then mechanical as well as feedstock recycling is generally preferable to biological or energy recovery.

This allows plastics to continually go through multiple cycles - ***"keeping plastics as plastics for as long as possible"***.

The following diagrams illustrate recovery options, processes and outputs as well as the elements and flows within an integrated resource management system.


---

<sup>2</sup> Reference: Plastics Europe and American Chemistry Council

<sup>3</sup> GUA, Vienna Austria, 2005, "The contribution of plastic products to resource efficiency"

<sup>4</sup>

## Schematic diagram of some waste plastic recovery options



## **6. Increasing recovery beyond limitations**

Limits exist to the amount of waste plastic able to be recovered by any technology and its collection infrastructure. For example the lack of clean, homogeneous streams of material at a cost effective price will limit the rate of mechanical recycling. It is also recognised that all technologies create percentage losses of material and energy as a result of processing.

It is recognised that there are a variety of social, political and other drivers to continually increase the rate of recycling and that an increased recycling rate is automatically regarded as preferable. However, attempting to recover and recycle plastic products beyond a certain point will create environmental costs rather than benefits. It is important that this point is able to be defined and the consequences of moving beyond this point understood.

Because each technology has limitations, combining technologies in an integrated waste management approach can enable the most effective recovery system for a given community, its mix of wastes, technologies and infrastructures available and cost variables.

All product types have life cycles of varying length, ranging from months (such as most packaging) to years (electrical and electronics) and decades (such as most building products). In some markets, approximately 60 % of plastic products manufactured have long-term cycles and will not be available for recovery for many years.

## **7. Importance of a cooperative approach – locally and globally**

Increasing and optimising all types of recovery is best achieved by the cooperation of the value chain supported by all tiers of governments and the community. Often this can be best achieved by focusing on particular products and involving their value chains rather than focusing on the type of polymer used. For example, effort focused on agricultural film is likely to be more effective than a Polyethylene program, or engaging the value chain for dairy packaging more productive than targeting Polypropylene or Polystyrene materials.

A significant proportion of a product's environmental contribution is locked in at the design stage. The role of design should include the end-of-life management of products and is vital for an effective result.

Consumer product manufacturers (brandowners) and retailers have an increasing role in specifying plastics and therefore an increasing role in assisting recovery efforts. This may include involvement in voluntary product stewardship programs involving all parts of the value chain, or extended producer responsibility programs involving a payment scheme. Creating pull-through demand for recycled plastics by helping create markets for recycled content products is recognised as valuable. The plastics industry seeks to continue working on stewardship programs with all stakeholders.

It is also important to recognise that plastics recovery and recycling adds social and economic value to communities and economies from generating employment, skill development and business growth.

Improving the recovery of plastic products at a country level can be assisted by coordinating regional and global efforts. Expertise in information and education, targeted programs, collection systems, technologies, markets and advocacy often exist in the networks of product manufacturers and plastics industry associations in various countries. These may be valuable when locally adapted by other countries.


## 8. Steps for improvement

To help achieve the maximum conservation of resources and energy at end-of-life, the global plastics industry seeks to progress a number of initiatives including:

1. Working towards a uniform method of measuring plastics recovery. This can be beneficial in a global economy, for benchmarking and encouraging consistent recovery policies in countries and regions. Ideally, reporting recovery of all forms of material and energy enables the most complete picture of resource and energy efficiency.

The following example diagram from Plastics Europe<sup>5</sup> shows material, product and energy flows from manufacturing through to end-of-life. Because different countries have various technologies and infrastructures available to them, a uniform approach is likely to evolve over time.

Figure 7. Plastic from cradle to cradle (EU25+NO/CH 2007)



2. Improving and expanding product stewardship programs along value chains within countries and between countries. This includes assisting the development of waste management practices, recovery technologies and market development for materials and energy. It includes sharing and helping apply plastics industry knowledge and experience with brandowners, retailers and governments who often bear increasing responsibility for the recovery of imported product and packaging, and where a partnership approach may be beneficial for the most effective outcomes.
3. Sharing information and good practice examples of product stewardship and extended producer responsibility programs, technologies and information through a range of sector, product and geographic networks. The development of a suitable knowledge base of these elements as well as evidence-based case studies is likely to further assist local and global improvement. Using this knowledge with targeted products and within trading regions is able to drive improvement.

**[For further information on this guidance statement or regarding any other aspects of plastics, please contact:** The Plastics Federation of South Africa, [www.plasticsinfo.co.za](http://www.plasticsinfo.co.za) - phone 011 314 4021.]

<sup>5</sup> “The Compelling Facts About Plastics. An analysis of plastics production, demand and recovery for 2007 in Europe”: Plastics Europe, October, 2008.